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ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of learning depends on four main elements: they are content, wanted learning outcome, instructional method and the delivery media. Besides that, the learning style also requires to be considered. This research aims to investigate the effect of the type visualizing—on the presentation strategy of the computer-based multimedia learning—and the learning style (sequential vs. global) toward the learning result. The type visualizing on the presentation strategy as an independent variable includes 2 treatments, static visualization and animation visualization. The learning result as dependent variable and the student’s learning style as a moderator variable. Learning with computer-based multimedia was conducted in the class with the research subject was the students of STMIK-STIKOM Bali who were in their fourth semester in the year 2011/2012. The experiment design used Anova univariat factorial 2x2 design with the samples of 164 students spread in 6 different classes. Based on the data analysing, it is concluded that the student’s learning results of a group of students who received learning by multimedia learning using animation visualization presentation were more superior rather than group of students with the static visualization presentation, anything the student’s learning style (sequential or global).
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FOREWORD

Multimedia learning (computer-based) is a type of e-Learning whose learning is delivered via computer with the learning content (text, picture, graphic, audio, video, animation, etc) is stored in CD-ROM or computer file. This multimedia technology keeps developing and increasing in use (Ganesan, 2009; Jereb & Šmitek, 2006). Multimedia learning can be obtained through, for example: internet by downloading the file on blended-learning, and CD-ROM on class-room or individually. But unfortunately this type of learning is still passive in general, emphasizing more on the media and element aspect rather than on the learning method, which means that it is just transferring information from an electronically source to a learning population without paying attention on the learning results/knowledge achievement (McLaren, 2008).

There are four main elements which has to be focused so that learning process can be effective, they are learning result (knowledge achievement), content type, learning method and delivery media (Clark, 2008). Because of that, in learning through multimedia context, multimedia learning is said to be effective if it is successful in integrating those four elements. Based on the research result by Mbarika et al. (2010) and Stanwick (2010) which states that multimedia learning has important role in enhancing the learners’ learning
experience and or understanding further in solving problems and or the attitude to the learning material.

Besides that, the effectively of multimedia learning will improve if in designing and producing it noticing these things: learners’ learning style preference (Clark & Mayer, 2008; Merrill, 2002); the availability of learner control (stop and play buttons) in adjusting the cognitive load of the learner during the learning process or interactivity multimedia (Hasler et al., 2007; Mayer & Moreno, 2002; Tabbers & de Koeijer, 2010); the topic condition (the static/dynamic content type) which is presented (Passerini, 2007; Gutormsen Schär & Zimmermann, 2007); and content visualization type (static or animation visualization) (Lin & Dwyer, 2010).

This research aims to investigate the effect of visualization type (animation vs. static)-on computer-based multimedia learning presentation strategy-and learning style (sequential vs. global) to the learning result (students’ ability in applying concept of object-oriented modeling). The visualization type on the multimedia presentation strategy acts as independent variable with two treatments which are animation visualization and static visualization. The students’ learning style (sequential vs. global) acts as moderator variable. The learning result acts as dependent variable. The learning strategy follows Merril (Component Display Theory) and the multimedia presentation format follows Mayer and Moreno (Seven Principles of Multimedia Learning). Learning with computer-based multimedia is done in the classroom with the research subject is the fourth semester students of STMIK STIKOM Bali in the academic year 2011/2012. The experiment design uses the factorial univariat ANOVA 2x2 with the size of samples are 164 students divided in 6 classes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Multimedia and How Human Learns
The components of multimedia consist of: texts, picture/photo, graphic arts, sound, animation and digitally manipulated video elements (Vaughan, 2006). Whereas animation, according to Mayer & Moreno (2002) refers to a simulated motion picture which describes the simulated objects’ movements. The multimedia referred here is a computer-based content/information presentation media, whether in static visualization or animation visualization.

Regarding with the content presented, Mayer & Moreno (2003) states three assumptions on how human learns: (1) the human information process system consists of two channels, they are audio/verbal which processes audio input and verbal representation, and visual/pictorial which processes visual input and pictorial representation; (2) both channels have limited capacity; and (3) meaningful learning needs some amount of cognitive process which occupy both channels. That learning is a deep understanding on material, including important material aspects which are presented, organizing them mentally in a cognitive structure and integrating with the existing/relevant knowledge.

Multimedia Learning
Multimedia learning (computer-based) is a type of e-Learning whose learning is delivered via computer with the learning content (text, picture, graphic, audio, video, animation, etc) is stored in CD-ROM or computer file. Learning with multimedia has characteristics as follow (Clark & Mayer, 2008): (1) the content for learning is relevant with the objective of learning; (2) using learning method such as examples and exercise/practice to help the learner in learning; (3) using the media elements such as words (texts) and images in delivering the content and learning method; (4) designed for the learners to be able to learn in asynchronous
learning; and (5) building new knowledge and skill which are connected to the purpose of
learning or increasing the organization’s performance.

Learning Cognitive Theory with Multimedia

Cognitive process is defined as changing in mind, intelligence, and learner’s language. This
changing happens because of the existence of learning process (Santrock, 2008). The model
of how human learns (how human mind works) is presented in Figure 1. This model is known
as learning cognitive theory with multimedia (Clark & Mayer, 2008; Mayer & Moreno,
2003).

According to Figure 1, there are 3 important cognitive processes which is pointed by arrow:
(1) words selection and pictures, as the first step which give attention on words and pictures
which are relevant from the material presented in short-term memory which connected with
the five senses (sensory memory/senses); (2) organizing words and pictures, as the second
step which mentally organizing selected material in coherent verbal and pictorial
representation in working memory; and (3) integration, as the final step which integrate one
pictorial and verbal representation with the others with the prior knowledge in long-term
memory.

Sensory memory or short-term memory is a limited capacity memory system where
information is kept for about 30 seconds, unless the information is repeated or processed
furthermore; working memory is some kind of ‘working table’ in which some information
process is done; and long-term memory is a type of memory which stores a lot of information
for a long period of time relatively permanent (Santrock, 2008).

Information Presentation Guide in Multimedia Format

There are 7 information presentation guide principles in multimedia format-animation (Clark
& Mayer, 2008; Mayer & Moreno, 2002), they are: (1) multimedia principle (learner learns
better on animation and narration/audio rather than on narration alone); (2) spatial contiguity
and temporal contiguity (learner learns better if the words/text are delivered near a relevant
animation (image) portion, and the portion related with the narration and animation are
delivered together rather than sequential); (3) Logical/coherence connection principle
(learner learns better on animation and narration if the irrelevant words/text, sound and
picture are removed rather than used); (4) modality principle (the learner learns better on
animation and narration rather than animation, narration and text on screen); (5) redundancy
principle (learner learns better on animation and narration rather than on animation, narration
and text on screen); (6) segmentation/interactivity principle and pertaining principle (learner
learns better if the facility to organize essential processing is available to avoid overloading
on the cognitive system (the availability of stop, previous and next buttons), and the learner

* Adopted from Clark & Mayer (2008)
learns better if they are given material orientation session fast [relevant key-concepts] related to the content/material learned before the presentation begins); (7) personalization principle (learner learns better on animation and narration with conversational style rather than on formal one).

The Effect of Visualization Type-on Computer-Based Multimedia Learning Presentation Strategy-to the Learning Result

Visualization type on multimedia learning strategy acts as independent variable with two types of treatment, static visualization (the content is displayed all at once on the screen) and animation (the content is displayed in sequence on the screen). The material/content which is learned is the same for both treatments, which is the object-oriented modeling material.

Content presentation with animation visualization gives a facility to the students in managing the cognitive process more accurately while studying-to avoid overloading in working memory-rather than using the content presentation with static visualization. Therefore it is hoped that this can give a real influence on their learning result.

Learning Style

Learning style is an option of how student or learner receives information and processes it into a meaningful knowledge. Felder Model is one of the learning style model which has effect on academic performance, and retention. This model measures the learning style of the learner using Index of Learning Styles (ILS) from Felder and Solomon which its realiability and validity has been proven for the technical/engineering students (Litzingeret al., 2007). This model has four learning style dimensions which can be described as follows (Felder & Brent, 2005; Graf et al., 2006; Litzinger et al., 2007): (1) Information processing, which is active (the learner learns best through working actively on learning material, by applying and practicing it; they tend to study in group), and reflective (the learner likes to think and reflect the learning material; they prefer to study on their own); (2) Perception, which is sensing (the learner tends to like studying about facts and concrete learning material), and intuitive (the learner tends to like studying theoretical/abstract learning material); (3) Input, which is visual (the learner is able to remember better through learning on what they see [such as picture, diagram, and flowchart]), and verbal (the learner tends to like learning material through textual representation in text and sound/narration); and (4) Understanding, which is sequential (the learner learns with a small increasing step, and therefore has linear progress), and global (the learner tends to receive the material randomly without seeing the connection [holistic], but when it is felt enough with the learning material, suddenly they can get full image of the learning material).

The Impact of Learning Style to The Learning Result

In learning, the existence of different learning style of the learners can give a significant impact to the learning result (the learning becomes ineffective) if these things occur: (1) the teaching style of the teacher is not a match with half/all the learning style of the learners (Merrill, 2002; Felder & Brent, 2009), and (2) in the learning context with the multimedia, multimedia learning is not/less accomodate the many learning styles of the learners matchingly (Clark & Mayer, 2008).

Interaction between Visualization Type—onPresentation Strategy—andLearning Style and its Effect on Learning Result

The visualization type on content presentation strategy is a type of delivery strategy, so the strategy itself is a part of a learning strategy/method. The learning strategy consists of organizing, delivering, and managing (Reigeluth, 1983). On managing strategy, it is possible
to be an interaction between the learners and the delivering strategy (content presentation). Related to that matter, Merrill (2002) states that the interaction between the learning strategy and the learning style (strategy-by-learning-style) can affect significantly in increasing the learners' ability in acquiring knowledge/information which are presented.

METHOD

The Research Variable and Experiment Design

This research is a quantitative research with quasi-experimental approach. The purpose is to test the effect of the independent and dependent variables. The independent variable is a type of visualization-on multimedia learning presentation strategy-with two kinds of treatment (animation visualization and static visualization) and the learner’s learning style (sequential vs global) as the moderator variable. The dependent variable is the ability of the students in applying concept. The material to be learnt is the object-oriented modelling. The experiment design is ANOVA 2x2 univariate factorial experiment.

Research Subject

The research subject of this research is the fourth semester students of Computer System in STMIK STIKOM Bali which are 164 students divided in 6 classes. The data of the amount of students of both treatment group is in Table 1. The equality of both groups have been tested based on the prerequisite subject grade of the students (converting the grade from letter into number) with Mann-Whitney Test technical analysis.

Treatment Design

The comparative aspects design of both multimedia learning treatment, which is the content presentation with static visualization and animation visualization is presented in Table 2. In its implementation, for each type of visualization there are three classes of students which receive the same treatment; there are six same multimedia learning modules (different topic for each module); the learning time on the same week for each topic; the final test on the same week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. The Amount of Students Based On the Treatment and Class Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animation Visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annotation: n = amount of students
Table 2. The Comparative Design of Computer-Based Multimedia Learning Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>The Multimedia Learning with Static Visualization Presentation*</th>
<th>The Multimedia Learning with Animation Visualization Presentation*</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>The content segment which consists of several sub-segments displayed all at once on computer screen.</td>
<td>The content segment which consists of several sub-segments displayed sequentially (sub-segment per sub-segment) on computer screen. The amount of time of sub-segment on the screen is 1-3 seconds and the break between sub-segment is 2-5 seconds.</td>
<td>One sub-segment content consists of several words/sentences or half/all pictures/diagrams/tables. On animation visualization, the sub-segment content can be in many forms of format.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learner control</td>
<td>The availability of STOP and CONTINUE buttons as the controller of narration (voice).</td>
<td>The availability of STOP and CONTINUE buttons as the controller of narration (voice).</td>
<td>The control button as representation of the existence of the user interactivity with multimedia learning besides the other buttons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practicing</td>
<td>The availability of practice/assignment practical materials. The materials are displayed all at once on computer screen without narration.</td>
<td>The availability of practice/assignment practical materials. The materials are displayed sequentially on computer screen without narration.</td>
<td>The lecturer with the students discuss the practice/assignment questions given</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Content adopted & modified from lecturing items of BIT 201, Dual Degree Program, STMIK-STIKOM Bali and Help University College

The Measurement of the Research Variable

Dependent variable is measured with final test, by using instrument which is adapted and modified from the test model or assignment which is facilitated by Min (2011). That instrument can be used to measure the students’ ability in applying object-oriented modelling concept. This instrument along with the multimedia learning contents have been validated by two validators (information technology field expert) and it is stated good in general. This validation includes three aspects: (1) the content clarity in representing the topics, (2) the suitability of the content with the objective of learning, and (3) the suitability of the assessment instrument in measuring the students’ performance (applying concept). This instrument has reliability coefficient (Alpha Cronbach) of 0.67.

The moderator variable-index of learning style (ILS)-is measured using instrument to measure the learning style based on the Index of Learning Style (ILS) from Felder-Solomon (Litzinger, 2007), also appear in Waras (2003). ILS is an online questionnaire which is designed to grade 4 dimension preference learning style which are active/reflective, sensing/intuitive, visual/verbal, and sequential/global. Each dimension covers 11 question items, which makes the total questions are 44 items. The learning style dimension applied here is the sequential/global learning style (understanding category).
Data Collecting and Analysis Method

The average description of the learning result scores are displayed on Table 3. Next the measuring data results are analyzed with univariate variant analysis technique (ANOVA) 2x2 with the help of SPSS statistic program package. Several statistic assumption that have to be taken before conducting the ANOVA analysis technique is data normality and equality variant matrix (Hair et al., 2006).

Table 3. The Score Description of Concept and Procedure Application Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Learning Style</th>
<th>Concept Application Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static Visualization</td>
<td>Sequential 46</td>
<td>2,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global 39</td>
<td>2,57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animation Visualization</td>
<td>Sequential 36</td>
<td>2,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global 43</td>
<td>2,75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annotation: n = amount of students

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Normality Test and Variant Equality

Based on the normality test result on the dependent variable with the Shapiro-Wilk statistic test, it can be concluded that the data normality assumption of the dependent variable measurement result is fulfilled (Shapiro-Wilk statistic= 0,984, free degree= 164, with the significant number= 0,056). Therefore the Levene test result for the variant matrix equality test states that that assumption is fulfilled (significant number F is 0,913 bigger than α= 0,05).

Analysis Result

The test/analysis result of ANOVA 2x2 the effect of visualization type and learning style to the learning result is displayed in Table 4. The analysis result concludes that: there are significant effects of visualization type (animation vs static) to the learning results; there are no significant effect of the learning style (sequential vs global) to the learning results; and there are no significant effect of the interaction between visualization type and learning style to the learning result.

Table 4. The Test Result of ANOVA 2x2 the Visualization Type and Learning Style Factor Effect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Freedom Degrees</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1151,970</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>287,993</td>
<td>943.081</td>
<td>0,000 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visualization Type (A)</td>
<td>2,658</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,658</td>
<td>8,705</td>
<td>0,004 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Style (B)</td>
<td>0,003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,003</td>
<td>0,009</td>
<td>0,924 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A * B</td>
<td>0,236</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,236</td>
<td>0,772</td>
<td>0,381 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>48,860</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0,305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1200,830</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * = significance, ns = non significance ; α = 0,05
DISCUSSION

1. The Effect Of Visualization Type (Animation Vs Static)—OnMultimedia Learning Presentation Strategy-ToThe Learning Results

The analysis result concludes that learning with multimedia using animation visualization presentation is better that the static visualization presentation, especially related to the students’ ability in applying the concept (sub-ordinate procedure) of the object-oriented modelling. The result is consistent with the result of the previous research conducted by Lin & Dwyer(2010), and Pass et al. (2007).

The superiority of multimedia learning with animation visualization compared with the static visualization can happen because of the multimedia learning (animation) accommodate information presentation guide principles in multimedia format-animation, especially the multimedia principles, temporary contiguity principle and segmentation principle.

2. The Effect Of Learning Style To The Learning Result

The analysis result concludes that there is no significant difference of the students’ learning result in applying object-oriented modelling concept in multimedia learning between student group with sequential learning style and student group with global learning style. This result is consistent with the result of the previous research conducted by Kozub (2010), McCann (2006), and Yilmaz-Soylu & Akkoyunlu (2002).

This thing can happen because the multimedia learning is successful in accommodating the students’ learning style preferences (sequential/global) through providing facilities which allow the students to learn topics from a sequential material (via the next button) and randomly (via pull-down button). This is consistent with the statement in Clark & Mayer (2008), and Merrill (2002) about the effective or not a learning depends on how far the learning strategy accommodates the students’ learning style.

3. The Interaction Effect Between Visualization Type-On Presentation Strategy-And Learning Style To The Learning Result

The Analysis result concludes that there is no significant interaction impact between the visualization type-on multimedia learning presentation strategy-and learning style on students’ ability in applying concept of object-oriented modelling. This result is consistent with the previous research results conducted by Kozub (2010), and McCann (2006).

The un-significant interaction between the visualization type-on multimedia learning presentation strategy and learning style is probable because the learning style factor does not have effect on the learning result.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

The students’ learning result in applying concept (subordinate procedure) object-oriented modelling on interactive multimedia learning (learner’s control) computer-based with animation visualization presentation is more effective/superior than the students’ learning result on multimedia learning with static visualization presentation, regardless of the students’ learning style (sequential or global).
Suggestions

1. On computer-based interactive multimedia learning, content presentation which is in concept (subordinate of the procedure content)-is better to visualize in animation (the contents are displayed continuously on screen) so that the learner can manage their cognitive load when learning. The students’ control facility can be a stop and continue button.

2. In the development of computer-based interactive multimedia learning, for the concept kind of content (subordinate procedure), in the multimedia presentation, it is better to include/facilitate the availability of buttons (or menu) which has random and continuously function (in selecting the material/topic of the learning).
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