Some Alternatives for Classic Thermopolymerisable Acrylic Dentures
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The use of the acrylic resins in the dental technique was a very important gain for the manufacturing and treatment of dentures and during the years new materials and technologies have appeared on the market, promising a better quality. Full-denture casting currently represents a technological alternative. The casting system we tested usually uses reversible hydrocolloids for investing wax patterns, and has certain advantages. Thermoplastic materials are used more and more widely in the technology of complete or removable partial dentures due to their superior qualities. Thermoplastic resins are suitable for manufacturing a wide range of removable partial dentures without metallic structure, in optimal conditions of biocompatibility, by injection. Our aim is to compare thermopolymerisable acrylic resins, manufactured using the classic technology with cast full dentures and injected thermoplastic resins.
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The development of resins represented a great step forward in dental technique, the first thermopolymerisable acrylic resins being developed in 1936. Due to their disadvantages, such as the toxicity of the residual monomer (organic solvent, hepatotoxic), the awkward wrapping system, difficult processing, several alternative materials were introduced such as thermoplastic resins: polyamides (nylon), acetal resins, epoxy resins, polystyrene, polycarbonate resins etc. which are manufactured by injection [1-3].

Full-denture casting currently represents an alternative to the manufacturing of full dentures, used in parallel with the classical barothermopolymerisation of acrylic resins or to various injection techniques. According to DIN EN ISO 1567 standard, autopolymerisable acrylic resins that are suitable for casting belong to type 2, group 2 of acrylic resins-table 1. Their common characteristic is that they are polymerized at temperatures below 65°C, after being poured in a casting flask. This means that they have already been prepared in a texture suitable for casting [5-8]. Each resin developed by various companies has its own casting system.

With the alteration of the chemical composition, the application field of thermoplastic resins (Type 3) diversified as well, so that at present they are suitable for the manufacturing of removable partial dentures which totally or partially eliminate the metallic component of skeletal dentures (“metal-free removable partial dentures”) [2-4], flexible partial dentures, full dentures, preformed clasps, temporary crowns and bridges, orthodontic appliances, anti-snoring devices, different types of mouthguards and splints [7].

The main characteristics of thermoplastic resins used are the following:
- they are monomer-free and consequently non-toxic and non-allergenic;
- are injected by special devices;
- are biocompatible;
- have enhanced esthetics and are comfortable at wearing.

Experimental part
Acrylic thermopolymerisable resins (Type 1) have poor mechanical resistance and satisfying physiognomic properties. The plasticizing temperature is above 65°C, with

Table 1
CLASSIFICATION OF RESINS ACCORDING TO DIN EN ISO–1567

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Group (presentation form)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>thermopolymerisable resins (&gt; 65°C)</td>
<td>Groupe 1: bicomponent - powder and liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groupe 2: monocomponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>autopolymerisable resins (&lt; 65°C)</td>
<td>Groupe 1: bicomponent - powder and liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groupe 2: bicomponent - powder and casting liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>thermoplastic resins</td>
<td>Monocomponent system: grains in cartridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>photopolymerisable resins</td>
<td>Monocomponent system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5</td>
<td>microwave polymerisable resins</td>
<td>Bicomponent system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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an average of approx. 105°C, depending on the product. For denture bases, if they are thick enough, the rigidity and resistance are considered to be adequate. They have poor abrasion resistance and slowly absorb water. A number of patients are considered to be allergic to acrylate, especially to residual monomer, and in these cases alternative materials should be used. Even for non-allergic patients, high residual monomer quantities may lead to irritation.

Most thermopolimerisable acrylates are bicomponent—powder and liquid, the powder consisting mainly of methyl polymetacrylate and the liquid of monomer: methyl metacrylate. The technique of making full thermopolimerisable acrylic dentures is a classic one.

The mixture of these components results in a paste which is polymerized by increased heat. The acrylic paste is introduced in the mould, the pressurized mould is then introduced in a water bath, the polymerization being induced by continuous heating of this bath (fig. 1a). The thermal protocol must be accurate, the pressure assuring the material penetration in all the mould areas, preventing polymerisation shrinkage and occlusion rise, due to a thicker base. The cooling has to be slow, unwrapping, adjusting and finishing must be thorough (fig 1b) [1].

As consequence of dosage, manipulation or adjustment errors, air bubbles may occur, which are easy to determine.

For the casting technique we used autopolymerisable acrylate (Type 2, group 2) based on methyl polymethylacrylate. The resin is presented in a powder-liquid bicomponent system, intended for casting.

The method used was the traditional method of following all the technological steps in manufacturing full dentures, including the final pattern step [12] (fig. 2). For the investment, the finite pattern is attached to the base of the flask with a special silicone. The liquefied hydrocolloid is thus cast in the already prepared flask.

Turning the pattern into the finite denture is done according to the specifications of the full denture casting system. The finite pattern is invested in a special flask, using a reversible hydrocolloid or silicones. When invested in silicones the results were better, by gaining lower final porosity of the base acrylic material.

Once the impression materials have set, the flask is unwrapped, the model is removed together with the denture base pattern. The teeth are introduced in the cleaning device, removing the wax remains. Subsequently, the teeth are repositioned in the investment impressions.

The acrylic resin is then prepared and poured through the specially created canal until the canal is completely filled. Afterwards, the acrylic resin is polymerised by immersing the flask for 30 min into a special polymerisation pot which contains water at a temperature of 50+/−5°C, 2.5 bars. The polymerised denture is subsequently removed from the investment. The necessary adjustments are minimal (fig. 3).

Thermoplastic materials can be polymerised or prepolymerised and they are in granular form, with low molecular weight, already wrapped in cartridges (fig. 4), which eliminates dosage errors. Their plasticization...
The technical steps in the technology of manufacturing a removable partial denture with an acetal resin framework are the following:

- manufacturing the frame from acetal resin, following the classic steps of casting of the working model, parallelograph analysis, drawing the future frame of the removable partial denture, duplication of the model, manufacturing the wax pattern of the removable partial denture frame, wrapping the detensioned wax pattern in the flask of the injection device. Then injection may be carried out with different injectors, following the indicated procedure. The devices usually have digital control, preset programmes for certain materials and programmes that can be individually set by the user. The pressure developed is 6-8 bar. Disassembling of the frame of the future removable partial denture is followed by its matching to the model, processing and finishing this component of the framework denture;

- the artificial teeth are inserted over the thermoplastic material saddles by adding pink wax;

- the acrylic component of the denture is wrapped using traditional methods. The denture is unwrapped after polymerisation, and processed according to the existing norms (fig. 5).

Acrylic thermostable full dentures and polyamide resin removable partial dentures are easier to make than those made of acetal resins as they do not require so many intermediary steps. The steps are similar to those followed for classic acrylic dentures, differences lying in the fact that with thermostable materials the injecting procedure is used. For partial polyamidic dentures the clasps are made of the same material as the denture base, when using superflexible polyamide (fig. 6), or ready-made clasps, in tooth colour, with a composition similar to that of the low-medium polyamide may be adapted to the tooth by heating.

Fig. 5. Metal-free acetal - acrylic partial denture

Fig. 6. Superflexible polyamide dentures

Results and discussions

The numerous disadvantages of classic thermostable acrylic dentures are well known: poor resistance to deformation and wear, poor long-term performance and stability, poor tolerance, usual presence of residual monomer which induces allergies in a high percentage of the patients, porosity which helps the development of microorganisms and deposits. The dentures need thorough adjustments. The thermopolymerisation process is time consuming but its main advantage is the accessibility for all the laboratories and patients. Errors might easily occur, like high porosity or bubbles and high occlusion.

The casting system has the following advantages: the reversible hydrocolloid can be reused, reduced polymerization time, wide colour range of the material (10 colours), minimal adjustments required.

Disadvantages of the full denture casting system are: costly technology due to the necessity to acquire the casting system; in the case of retentive fields, problems may occur...
during the unwrapping stage; possible errors may occur during tooth positioning. Several dentures obtained by casting had a high level of porosity on the mucosal surfaces, which develops when the acrylic resin is being poured. This porosity is caused by the incorporation of air bubbles when the acrylic resin is poured in the mold. Dentures may also be incomplete, due to the fast setting of the resin which requires great skill when pouring it in the mold. The imperfections which develop on the mucosal surfaces may be a consequence of improper preparing acrylic resins or improper pouring.

For biocompatible full dentures one may choose monomer-free thermoplastic acrylic resin. The prosthetic solution of partial edentations with the help of metal-free removable partial dentures represents a modern alternative solution to classical framework dentures, having the advantage of being lightweight, flexible and much more comfortable for the patient. The effectiveness of the technique is given by the use of the same material in making the clasps or the use of ready-made clasps from the same material. Where the mechanical resistance of the structure came first, we chose an acetal resin for making the frame. Superflexible polyamide resin is especially indicated for retentive dental fields, which would normally create problems with the insertion and disinsertion of removable partial dentures [2-5].

Unlike conventional acrylates, thermoplastic resins have numerous advantages: long-term performance, stability, resistance to deformation and wear, excellent tolerance, resistance to solvents, absence or reduced quantity of residual monomer which induces allergies in a high percentage of the patients, lack of porosity which prevents the development of microorganisms and deposits, as well as maintaining their size and colour in time [1].

The advantages of the injecting system lie in the fact that the resin is delivered in a cartridge which eliminates dosage errors, guaranteeing long-term stability of the shape, reduced contraction, as well as mechanical resistance with ageing. The disadvantages are mainly the consequence of the high cost of the injection device and of the materials to be used.

Conclusions
Both denture injection and casting techniques represent alternatives to the classical thermopolymerisation technique, being part of the new methods offered by the producers, for improving the clinical performances of full and partial dentures.

As this class of materials and their processing devices are being permanently improved, their future applicability in dental medicine will keep growing.

References
2. ARDELEAN, L., BORTUN, C., MOTOC M., Mat. Plast., 44, no. 4, 2007, p. 345
8. PILECKI, M., BEHAEGHEL, W., BEHAEGHEL, D., Dentis, 5, nr. 2 , 2006, p. 26

Manuscript received: 27.01.2012
Our aim is to compare thermopolimerisable acrylic resins, manufactured using the classic technology with cast full dentures and injected thermoplastic resins. Keywords: thermopolimerisable acrylic resin, thermoplastic resin, molding-injection devices, casting, full dentures.

Besides classic heat-curing, alternative technologies namely, casting and injection moulding are nowadays available in manufacturing acrylic resins for dental applications. In the case of alternative resins, light-curing or microwave polymerization techniques are also used [4,5]. Light-curing, as a polymerization method for dental materials, appeared in the 1970s...

With acrylic implants, a bar (usually made from titanium) connects the implants. This is considered the traditional option and was the first product available. This method is best for people that do not clench or grind their teeth. Your Opposing Teeth What are the teeth opposing the dental implants like? Are they dentures, acrylic hybrids, natural teeth, or zirconia? It’s important to choose products that complement each other, not just in looks but also in function. Grinders For those who grind their teeth, some materials, such as acrylic, are simply not going to work well. They become damaged too quickly, limiting their effectiveness. Your Budget There is no doubt some products are more expensive, especially porcelain and zirconia.